

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,361	06/26/2003	John Lee Colbert	ROC920030153US1	6116
7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Leslie J. Payne, Attorney			MCKINNON, TERRELL L	
IBM Corporation				
Dept. 917			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3605 Highway 52 North			3743	
Rochester, MN	i 55901-7829			

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/607,361	COLBERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terrell L. Mckinnon	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	une 2003.					
	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are allowed.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,10-12 and 15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>5-9,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/ol						
Attachment(s)	4) T Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/26/2003.	5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/607,361 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 10-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Letourneau (U.S. 6,462,951) in view of Norley et al. (U.S. 6,503,626). Letourneau discloses a heat sink comprising:
 - thermally conductive member including a base having one or more surfaces adapted to absorb heat from an electronic component and one or more surfaces extending from the base to radiate absorbed heat;
 - a mounting assembly including at least one mounting member directly coupled to the base and for direct attachment to the electronic component so that loading forces for mounting on it the electronic component are not directly applied to the base;
 - a compliant force applying mechanism mounted generally on the base for controlling forces applied on the base, includes at least a biasing element (spring), and a force applying actuator member;

Application/Control Number: 10/607,361

Art Unit: 3743

Letourneau's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for the thermally conductive member being a graphite-based material.

3. However, Norley teaches the use of a thermally conductive member being a graphite-based material (10).

Given the teachings of Norley, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat sink of Letourneau with the thermally conductive member being a graphite-based material.

Doing so would provide alternate thermally conductive material having excellent heat conducting characteristics.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 16-18 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 5-9, 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited on the USPTO 892 discloses related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L. Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 3743

272-4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743 September 6, 2005